## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1456

**AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE** 

CIVIL ACTION: 01-CV-12257-PBS

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CLASS ACTIONS

Judge Patti B. Saris

## PLAINTIFFS' L.R. 56.1 STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST ALL TRACK 1 DEFENDANTS

Pursuant to Local Rule 56.1, plaintiffs hereby submit their Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment against defendants AstraZeneca, BMS, GSK, J&J, and Schering-Plough (collectively, the "Track 1 Defendants")<sup>1</sup>

- 1. AWP was the reimbursement benchmark for Part B Drugs under Medicare during the class period.
- 2. Each Track 1Defendant knew that AWP was the reimbursement mechanism for Part B drugs during the class period.
- 3. Each Track 1 Defendant caused AWPs to be published for each of their subject drugs.
- AstraZeneca caused AWPs for all of its drugs, including Zoladex, to be published 4. by the pharmaceutical industry pricing services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "AstraZeneca" is used to refer to AstraZeneca PLC; Zeneca, Inc.; AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals L.P and AstraZeneca U.S. "BMS" or the "BMS Group" is used to refer to Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.; Oncology Therapeutics Network Corp. ("OTN"); and Apothecon, Inc. "GSK" is generally used to refer to GlaxoSmithKline P.L.C. GSK is the result of a merger between GlaxoWellcome, Inc. ("Glaxo") and SmithKline Beecham Corporation ("SKB"). Where appropriate, "Glaxo" and "SKB" are used to refer to the pre-merger companies. "J&J" refers to Johnson & Johnson; Centocor, Inc.: Ortho Biotech, Inc. ("OBI"); McNeil-PPC, Inc.; and Janssen Pharmaceutical Products, L.P. The Schering-Plough Group consists of the parent-corporation, Schering-Plough Corporation ("SP"), and its whollyowned subsidiary, Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation ("Warrick"). The term "SPW" is generally used where the evidence applies against both parent and subsidiary.

- 5. AstraZeneca set the published AWPs for all of its drugs, including Zoladex, prior to 2002.
  - 6. AstraZeneca communicated its AWPs to the publishers for publication.
- 7. AstraZeneca adopted the AWPs set by FirstDataBank and communicated them to Redbook after 2001.
  - 8. BMS caused AWPs for all of its drugs to be published.
- 9. BMS controlled the AWPs for its subject drugs by reporting wholesale list prices with full knowledge of the mark-up factors that the publishers would use to create the AWPs.
  - 10. BMS's internally published the AWPs for its products.
- 11. BMS wholly-owned specialty distributor OTN republished AWPs for BMS subject drugs.
- 12. OTN published online reports to which customers subscribed, including the "AWP Report," which contained a list of current AWPs.
- 13. OTN published the "AWP/Price Report," which contained the AWPs for drugs that OTN clients had purchased, and the billing unit for each particular drug.
  - 14. GSK caused AWPs for all of its drugs to be published.
  - 15. Glaxo controlled the AWP for Zofran.
- 16. Glaxo knew from the launch of Zofran that oncologists were driven to make product purchase choices based on the profit available to them from purchasing and administering particular products.
- 17. Glaxo announced the AWPs for its products to wholesalers and third-party payors in advance of price increases taking effect.

- 18. Glaxo controlled the ratio upon between net wholesale price and AWP upon which AWP was calculated.
- 19. Glaxo routinely considered the AWPs that would result from price increases when setting new prices.
  - 20. SKB controlled the AWP for Kytril.
- 21. SKB knew from the launch of Kytril that oncologists were driven to make product purchase choices based on the profit available to them from purchasing and administering particular products.
- 22. SKB determined the AWPs for its products by setting the WAC and setting the ratio between the WAC and the AWP.
  - 23. SKB sent its suggested list prices to the pricing services.
  - 24. SKB knew the pricing services would report SKB's suggested list prices as AWP.
- 25. SKB regularly transmitted AWP information for Kytril to wholesalers and third-party payors in advance of the price increases taking effect.
- 26. SKB routinely considered the AWPs that would result from price increases when setting new prices.
  - 27. J&J caused AWPs for all of its drugs to be published.
  - 28. J&J set the AWPs for Procrit and Remicade throughout the class period.
  - 29. OBI set the list price for Procrit.
- 30. At the time of launch and every Procrit price change prior to June 2004, OBI sent a communication to all of the major publishers announcing the new list prices and corresponding AWPs that OBI had calculated internally for Procrit.

- 31. The AWPs that OBI sent to publishers were neither recommendations nor suggestions.
- 32. The AWP for Procrit was created by routinely and mechanically applying a 20 percent mark up to list price at the time of launch and for every price change thereafter.
  - 33. Centacor provided FirstDataBank with the AWP for Remicaid.
- 34. J&J knew its pharmaceutical divisions set the WACS and AWPs for their respective drugs.
  - 35. Schering-Plough controlled and set the AWPs for its products.
- 36. Schering-Plough reported pricing information for their medicines to pharmaceutical industry pricing publications.
- 37. Schering-Plough reported the AWPs for their medicines to pharmaceutical industry pricing publications.
  - 38. Schering-Plough caused AWPs for all of its drugs to be published.
- 39. The AWPs that each Track 1 Defendant caused to be published neither reflected an average nor included the discounts called for by OIG Guidelines
  - 40. Each Track 1 Defendant manipulated and marketed spreads.
  - 41. AstraZeneca's AWPs neither reflected an average nor met OIG Guidelines.
  - 42. AstraZeneca purposefully manipulated and marketed spreads.
  - 43. AstraZeneca manipulated the AWPs of its subject drugs.
- 44. AstraZeneca communicated its AWPs to the sales force for the purpose of marketing the reimbursement mechanism to its physician customers.
  - 45. BMS's AWPs neither reflected an average nor met OIG Guidelines.
  - 46. BMS purposefully manipulated and marketed spreads.

- 47. BMS knew that its subject drugs were sold at prices well below AWP.
- 48. BMS created spreads through confidential contract pricing, rebates and other forms of discounting.
- 49. BMS knew that physicians working in office-based oncology practices considered spreads in making pharmaceutical product buying decisions.
- 50. BMS created spreads through special contract pricing and other discounting methods.
- 51. BMS manipulated the spreads its drug Blenoxane in order to induce officebased oncology practices to purchase the drug.
- 52. BMS manipulated the spreads its drug Cytoxan in order to induce office-based oncology practices to purchase the drug.
- 53. BMS manipulated the spreads its drug VePesid in order to induce office-based oncology practices to purchase the drug.
- 54. BMS manipulated the spreads its drug Etopophos in order to induce officebased oncology practices to purchase the drug.
- 55. BMS manipulated the spreads its drug Rubex in order to induce office-based oncology practices to purchase the drug.
- 56. BMS manipulated the spreads its drug Taxol in order to induce office-based oncology practices to purchase the drug.
- 57. BMS manipulated the spreads its drug Paraplatin in order to induce officebased oncology practices to purchase the drug.
- 58. BMS actively marketed the spread between actual acquisition cost and AWP in order to take advantage of the importance that prescribers place on drug reimbursements.

- 59. GSK's AWPs did not reflect an average price of any kind for their drugs.
- 60. GSK's AWPs did not meet OIG Guidelines.
- 61. GSK purposefully manipulated and marketed spreads.
- 62. Glaxo's AWPs for Zofran bore no meaningful relationship to prices paid by any purchaser beginning in 1994 once Zofran faced therapeutic competition.
  - 63. SKB's AWPs for Zofran bore no meaningful relationship to prices paid.
  - 64. Glaxo's overall sales strategy was to promote Zofran based on spread.
  - 65. SKB's overall sales strategy was to promote Kytril based on spread.
  - 66. J&J's AWPs neither reflected an average nor met OIG Guidelines.
  - 67. J&J purposefully manipulated and marketed spreads.
- 68. The AWP for J&J products was used as a reference for reimbursement and not as a price for sales.
  - 69. J&J never sold Procrit at AWP or list price.
  - 70. Centocor never sold any Remicade at AWP or at any price above WAC.
- 71. In response to the introduction of the drug Aranesp, OBI sought to increase the level of rebates and discounts that it offered to its customers.
  - 72. Schering-Plough's AWPs neither reflected an average nor met OIG Guidelines.
  - 73. Schering-Plough purposefully manipulated and marketed spreads.
- 74. Schering-Plough marketed Integrilin using off-invoice discounts and price protection orders that resulted in inflating the spread between acquisition cost and AWP.
- 75. Schering-Plough oncology drugs sales representative were trained to provide reimbursement information to the physicians and pharmacies that they called on.

76. In anticipation of generic competition in 1992 and the launch of albuterol generics

in 1993, Schering-Plough increased the spread on Proventil in order to compete against other

manufacturers.

77. Schering-Plough established inflated AWPs with full knowledge that AWP was

the benchmark for third-party payors' reimbursements to providers.

All documents and deposition testimony supporting this Local Rule 56.1 Statement of

Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment are

identified in Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment

and have been submitted therewith, and these references and source materials are expressly

incorporated herewith. In particular, all record cites are identified in Part III of Plaintiffs'

Memorandum in Support of their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, and all documents and

deposition testimony are submitted as exhibits to the Berman Declaration. Rather than

unnecessarily magnify the already substantial pile of paper on file in this case, Plaintiffs have not

burdened the Court with a duplication of the record identified and attached in the pleadings

submitted herewith.

DATED: March 15, 2006

By /s/ Steve W. Berman

Thomas M. Sobol (BBO#471770) Edward Notargiacomo (BBO#567636)

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP

One Main Street, 4th Floor

G 1 : 1 - 1 (A col 10

Cambridge, MA 02142

Telephone: (617) 482-3700

Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

LIAISON COUNSEL

- 7 -

Steve W. Berman
Sean R. Matt
Robert F. Lopez
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 623-7292
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

Elizabeth Fegan
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP
60 W. Randolph Street, Suite 200
Chicago, IL 60601
Telephone: (312) 762-9235
Facsimile: (312) 762-9286

Eugene A. Spector Jeffrey Kodroff Spector, Roseman & Kodroff, P.C. 1818 Market Street, Suite 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 496-0300 Facsimile: (215) 496-6611

Marc H. Edelson Allan Hoffman Hoffman & Edelson 45 West Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Telephone: (215) 230-8043 Facsimile: (215) 230-8735

Kenneth A. Wexler
Jennifer F. Connolly
The Wexler Firm LLP
One North LaSalle Street, Suite 2000
Chicago, IL 60602
Telephone: (312) 346-2222
Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

Shanin Specter
Donald E. Haviland, Jr.
Kline & Specter, P.C.
1525 Locust Street, 19th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
Facsimile: (215) 772-1359
Telephone: (215) 772-1000

CO-LEAD COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I, Steve W. Berman, an attorney, caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing **PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST ALL TRACK 1 DEFENDANTS** to be delivered to all counsel of record by electronic service pursuant to Paragraph 11 of the Case Management Order No. 2, by sending on March 15, 2006, a copy to LexisNexis File & Serve for posting and notification to all parties.

By /s/ Steve W. Berman

Steve W. Berman **HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP**1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 623-7292